# **PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION**

(Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi) **APPELLATE AUTHORITY** 

[Under Section 7, Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001]

## Appeal No. 680/2018/PGC/DRTI/SCERT dated 29.1.2019

Date of Hearing :25.3.2019Appellant :Dr. Naresh SinghCompetent Authority :State Council of Educational<br/>Research and Training,Application under DRTI :31.12.2018

#### **1** Background :

The appellant vide DRTI application dated 06.06.2018 has sought information on total 37 points. Aggrieved with the information provided by the department, he filed this appeal.

## 2 Proceedings

The appellant is present. Sh. Mukesh Kumar, PIO is present on behalf of the department. He informed that the appellant has sought voluminous information through his application and compiling this information would disproportionately divert the resources and manpower of SCERT. He further submitted that the appellant has submitted many applications under RTI Act 2005 and also many grievances to various authorities. Thus he is misusing the provisions of the DRTI Act 2001 and RTI Act 2005.

The DRTI application of the appellant has been perused and it is observed that he has sought information on 37 points. The Appellate Authority tends to agree with the submission of the department that seeking such voluminous information will put a drain on the resources of the department. This was made clear to the appellant also. Accordingly appellant has submitted that he shall restrict himself to only query nos. 1, 2, 3, 10, 11 and 13 of his application. He was directed to submit a representation to the Competent Authority, SCERT for seeking specific information relating these 06 points.

## 3 Decision

The Competent Authority i.e. Director SCERT is directed to furnish point-wise revised reply, after receipt of the representation from the Dr. Naresh Singh. The revised reply shall be sent to the appellant within 02 weeks of receipt of his representation, with a copy marked to this Commission for information.

It is further directed that the revised reply shall be sent only under the signature/stamp of the Director, SCERT being the Competent Authority under the DRTI Act 2001. However, it shall be open to the appellant to approach this Commission again if he is not satisfied with revised reply furnished by the department.

With the above direction, the present appeal case is ordered to be closed in the Commission.

(ASHOK KUMAR)

Chairman, Public Grievances Commission

Copy to:-

- 1 The Director, State Council of Educational Research and Training, Varun Marg, Defence Colony, New Delhi-110 024
- 2 Dr. Naresh Singh